	(s
· ODIOINAL	FILED -
ORIGINAL UNITED STATES LISTRIC OF PEN	HARRISBURG, PA
Of Figure 1 District Of Prince	ADILIVATE A
DERRICK R. COOMES)	SEP 2 5 2001 9/26
Plaintiff) No. 1:07-01-0247	MARY E DIÀNGER AL
Hon Rawley, Juring 1	MARY E. D'ANDREA, CLERK
VS	Deputy Clerk
ROBERT W. MYERS ET. AL.) MOTION FOR RELI	SANCTIONS, AND TEF UNDER FRCP RULE 60(b)
	THE RECORD, AND PLAINTIFFS
	ANTS MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FRAUL
To: The Hunorable Judge Rambo, and Judges of this F	Conorable District Court;
i-Defendants submitted a "motion to dismiss for failu	re to state a claim, and filed a
brief in support of this, on July Third, 2001, fraudu	dently stating, "I did not exhaust
Administrative remedies, on my issues, to "final revi	
Court, he in fact did so, but that he was indigent, a	
"final review orders" because state denies indigent p	risoners free photocopies, and accor-
dingly, meaningful court socess.	

justice, and perjury, upon this Achorable Court, as I told the Court it was.

3. I have told defendants counsel, of his <u>NIX V. WHITESIDE</u> U.S. omitted, to not present (duty to not present false evidence/facts) I now warn him again, and advise him go read, U.S. V. VACCHO 797 F.26 1485 for the proposition, that he incurs criminal Hability for this.. With the warning, he'd best get honest here.

7 AND Rule 11

2.Plaintiff has sec. a couple dollars, from family,(16\$ after deductions) and hereby does submit the "rinal review orders" and effectively exposes defendants fraud, obstruction of

Plaintiff prays for Rule 34, and Rule 37 sanctions, in this matter, in the form of award of 250\$ to be awarded plaintiff, forthwith, from defendants and their counsel, which will remay their trying to use my thouseney, to impose any further fraud on this homorable Ct. and compensate me, for research, paperwork, etc. incurred in this matter.

It is further prayed, defendants motion to dismiss, for failure to exhaust adm. remadles be forthwith <u>DENTED WITH PREJUDICE</u> for the fraud it is, and that this matter, be screeduled, for Juny trial, as requested.

Derrick R.V.Counts #CF18b0 Box 200 Camp Hill Pa. 17001-0206

ORDER

And now, upon consideration of unis matter, defendants motion to dismiss, is hereby denied with prejudice, this matter is scheduled for jury trial, and defendants and their counsel are forthwith CRDEMED to pay Mr. Coumbs, sum of Two Hundred, and Fifty dollars.

BY THE COURT:

HOTA.	W		·
	لكنات لداء	Scates	0146 <u>5</u> 6

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1451 N. MARKET STREET ELIZABETHTOWN, PA 17022

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF HEARING EXAMINER

March 30, 1999

Derrick Coombs, CT-1800 SCI Rockview

Re:

DC-ADM 804 - Final Review

Grievance No. ROC-0117-99

Dear Mr. Coombs:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review of the above numbered grievance.

In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, VI D, as amended effective November 1, 1997, I have reviewed the entire record of this grievance; including your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal from initial review and the Superintendent's response. I have also carefully reviewed the issues you raise to final review.

Upon completion this review, it is the decision of this office to uphold the responses provided by staff at the institutional level. I find the issues raised for final review have been addressed by the Grievance Coordinator and the Superintendent, and their responses are reasonable and appropriate.

I concur with the responses already provided at the institution level. Accordingly, your appeal to final review must be denied.

Sincerely,

Robert S. Bitner

Chief Hearing Examiner

Regarding my Lingson

RSB:ph

pc: Superintendent Meyers

Myers Hus These -

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA **DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS** 1451 N. MARKET STREET ELIZABETHTOWN, PA 17022

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF HEARING EXAMINER

August 10, 1999

Derrick Coombs, CT-1800 SCI Rockview

Re:

DC-ADM 804 - Final Review

Grievance No. ROC-0431-99

Dear Mr. Coombs:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your appeal to final review of the above numbered grievance.

In accordance with the provisions of DC-ADM 804, VID, as amended effective November 1, 1997. I have reviewed the entire record of this grievance; including your initial grievance, the Grievance Officer's response, your appeal from initial review and the Superintendent's response. I have also carefully reviewed the issues you raise to final review.

Upon completion this review, it is the decision of this office to uphold the responses provided by staff at the institutional level. I find the issues raised for final review have been addressed by the Grievance Coordinator and the Superintendent, and their responses are reasonable and appropriate.

I concur with the responses already provided at the institution level. Accordingly, your appeal to final review must be denied.

Sincerely,

Robert S. Bitner

Resolvey wire, Chief Hearing Examiner

RSB:ph

pc:

Superintendent Meyers

Mere - He Lied

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DERRICK R. CVOMBS Plaintiff

No. 1;CV-01 0247 (Hon. Judge Rambo)

۷š.

ROBERT W. MYERS ET.AL. Defendants

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify I have this [bed] [loc] day of Sept. 2001, served the within "motion for sanctions, and motion for relief under FRCP Rule 60(b) to add exhibits to the record, and Plaintiffs motion to strike defendants motion to dismiss, for fraud" on the parties below, by deposit in prison mail, addressed as follows; Clerk of Court U.S. District Court

Box 983, 228 Walnut St. Harrisburg Pa. 17109 (Three copies)

Mr. Patrick Cawley DAG Office of Atty. Gen. Lit. Sec. 15th Fir. Strawberry Square Harrisburg Pa. 17120

Signed in accordance with Rule 11, and submitted under penalty of perjury;

Derrick R. Commos #CT1800 Box 200 Camp Hill Pa.

17101-0200